

Sinclair Broadcasting's decision to force their stations to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election is a clear example of the dangers of media consolidation.

Sinclair uses the public airwaves free of charge, and is obligated by law to serve the public interest. I don't feel that my interests are served when what is blatant and deceptive campaigning is done under the guise of "news."

Not only that, I want to make up my own mind about the issues. And I want to see news that includes what's going on close to home about people I know, not formulated generic news. There's more than enough Muzak in the world.

Sinclair's actions show why we need to strengthen media ownership rules, not weaken them. They show why the license renewal process needs to involve more than a returned postcard. Thank you.